

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,676	04/08/2002	Sam Fong Yau Li	2577-118	7819
6449 75	590 02/01/2005		EXAMINER	
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			LUCAS, ZACHARIAH	
1425 K STREE SUITE 800	ET, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1648	
			DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
A.I. farana Andina	10/019,676	LI ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Zachariah Lucas	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	1) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	ich places the appli	cation in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in tr nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	E FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ision and the corresponding amount of the distance of the statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final rej	the final Office action; or ection, even if timely filed	r (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	f finally rejected cla	ims.			
3 Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s): See Continuation She	<u>et</u> .				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	ed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: §	for reconsideration has been con See <u>Continuation Sheet</u> .	nsidered but does N	NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered b raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		Y to issues which w	vere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	nt(s) a)□ will not be entered or would be rejected is provided b	b)⊠ will be entere elow or appended.	d and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>73-91 and 94-100</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 92 and 93.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	pproved or b) \square disapproved b	y the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☑ Other: <i>PTO Form 892</i>						

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The enablment rejection is withdrawn as to claim 82. All rejections are withdrawn as to claims 101 and 102, which have been cancelled from the application.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to the traversal of the rejection of the claims 73-91, and 94-102, the Applicant argues that the limitations of claim 82 indicate that the claimed methods are limited to embodiments wherein the Pz crystals contain a metal electrode. The rejection is maintained as to the claims other than claim 82 because, while claim 82 provides this limitation, none of the other claims depend from this claim. Thus, the limitations of claim 82 are not of necessity part of the other claimed invenitons. Because there is no requirement in the other claims that the Pz crytals include metal electrodes, and because the presence of claim 82 indicates that the other claims do not require the limitations set forth in this dependent claim, the rejection is maintained.

The Applicant also argues, with respect to the obviousness rejections, that the claimed invention is not the device, apparently conceding that the device was known or obvious, but a method of using the device (and a kit comprising it) for the diagnosis of veterinary diseases. These arguments are not found persuasive. First, as was indicated in the prior actions, the art teaches the use of such devices for the detection of pathogens. It is generally silent as to whether the pathogen is found in humans or in other animals. However, because the references teach the use of such devices, and the claimed methods for the detection of pathogens, the art also renders obvious the use of the methods for veterinary applications. This is because the use of such immunological methods for veterinary diagnosis is well known in the art. See e.g., U.S. Patent 5,306,644 column 16. See also, the teachings of Rajashekara, teaching the detection of infection in animals using immunoassays. Because the art teaches all of the claimed method limitations, and because it is known in the art that immunoassays may be applied for veterinary diagnosis, the teachings of the cited references render obvious the claimed methods and kits. The traversal is therefore not found persuasive, and the rejections are maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachariah Lucas whose telephone number is 571-272-0905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 am to 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Z. Lucas Patent Examiner

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600